

Context Similarity and Semantic Relationships

Nami Akazawa, Emre Canbazer Supervised by Sylvain Pogodalla

DIE Institut des sciences du Digital Management & Cognition

MSc Natural Language Processing University of Lorraine oliolio olioloo oliolo

Abstract

Vector representations of words (vector space models) can capture different relationships exist in words by using context information embedded in the text. However, how linguistic processing impacts encoding of semantic

Corpora

Wikipedia extracted texts parsed using Stanford CoreNLP which
outputs grammatical relations in the Universal Dependencies v1
representation in CoNLL-U format (includes lemma, POS,
dependency relation and head word).

Results

We performed a qualitative evaluation by collecting randomly chosen target words' top 5 similar words computed from VSM using cosine similarities and display the 5 word embeddings and their results. We

relatedness in VSM is still unknown. We propose a

dependency-based construction of VSM utilizing syntactic

relations using MANGOES software and analyze the result.

Background

 The traditional word-based co-occurrence models build their vector space by only considering a window of co-occurring words surrounding the target word.

Sentences:

Simple strawberry pie with fresh strawberries coated in a light strawberry glaze. My favorite pie is cherry pie but I like apple pie as well. A doctor opens the medicine cabinet to get drugs. I go pharmacy to get medicine that I need.

Window size: 4
Target words: *(strawberry, cherry, doctor, pharmacy)*Basis elements: *(simple, strawberry, pie, ..., my, favorite, ..., a, doctor, opens, ... go, pharmacy, that, need)*

	simple	 pie	favorite	medicine	drugs
strawberry	1	 1	0	0	0
cherry	0	 2	1	0	0
doctor	0	 0	0	1	0
pharmacy	0	 0	0	1	0

Figure 1: A simple example of co-occurring space given a set of sentences from a text, showing five of the dimensions (for pedagogical purpose).

Language	English	French	
Number of Sentences	19182562	18916629	
Number of Tokens	518854512	494582365	
Number of Unique Tokens	4793040	3949618	
Number of Tokens without stop-words	4787871	3941659	

Experiments

We used MANGOES software developed by by magnet team in INRIA. In total we built 33 models with different parameter settings. Some of the shared settings are:

• Max sentence length: 100

Positive Point-wise mutual information as weighting function
Removal of stop-words from target and context vocabularies
Considers entity token in the form of (lemma, POS)
As our vocabulary setting, we have tried three different ways:
applying POS filter to only target vocabulary, only context
vocabulary, and both vocabulary. For each option, we applied
(ADV,ADJ, NOUN, VERB), (NOUN, VERB, ADJ), (NOUN, ADV, VERB)

manually analyze and discuss the outputs.

For English:

WORD	BASE	SVD2	SVD3	SVD4	SVD5
pain	cough,	painful,	painful,	sick, ill,	painful,
	afflict,	chronic,	cough,	insane,	cough,
	debilitate,	acute,	fatal,	painful,	chronic,
	suffer,	severe,	traumatic,	sudden	sweat,
	bruise	traumatic	chronic		sore
price	non-	worth,	worth,	million,	worth,
	monopoly,	pay, net,	net, cost,	billion,	net, pay
	supra-	cost,	pay,	chron-	profitable
	com-	exceed	financial	ically,	exceed
	petitive,			multi-day,	
	re-roll,			cost	
	mini-			and down the wo	
	mum,				
	reason-				
	able				

<u>Pain</u>

Compared to the BASE, the other models describe the type of pain: acute pain and chronic pain which intensify the pain thus falls in category of Magn.
SVD2 contains 'severe', which intensify the meaning of pain, can also be categorized as Magn.

Dependency-based VSM

• The intuition of the syntax-based model is that we may construct a semantically-enriched word vector space model that captures different semantic relations by incorporating information about the syntactic relationship between a target word and other words.

Sentence:

He ate the cheese sandwich

Target words: (*he, ate, cheese, sandwich*) Basis elements: (*(subj, he), (root, ate), (det, the), (mod, cheese), (obj, sandwich*))

	(subj, he)	(root, ate)	(det, the)	(mod, cheese)	(obj, sandwich)
he	0	0	0	0	0
ate	1	0	0	0	1
cheese	0	0	0	0	0
sandwich	0	0	1	1	0

Figure 2: A simple example of Lin's (Lin, 1998) dependency-based semantic space.

Lexical Functions

respectively.

One can define how far a target word wants to include as its context using dependency connection. We tested paths of length 1 and 2 as context (depth) for the depth setting of dependency context. The depth of 2 considers path length of at most two. We constructed three path value functions.

 BASE assigns the value of 1 to all counted paths. It assumes that all paths are equally important.

• Length assigns each path a value inversely proportional to its

length. It discourages the weight to longer paths.

• Gram-rel defines ranking paths according to its dependency relations.

	(australian,ADJ)	(scientist,NOUN)	(discovers, VERB)	(star, NOUN)	(with, ADP)	(telescope,NOUN
australian	0	1	3	0	0	0
scientist	1	0	3	3	0	3
discovers	3	3	0	1	2	2
star	0	3	1	0	0	2
with	0	0	2	0	0	1
telescope	0	3	2	2	1	0

Example of dependency-based co-occurrence matrix with

PACE proposes rare word, non-monohy and supra

- BASE proposes rare word: non-monopoly and supracompetitive. SVD2, SVD3 and SVD5 captured the **Oper1** collocate of the target word: pay.

For French:

WORD	Base setting	SVD2	SVD3	SVD4	SVD5
iccord	laccord,	conclure,	signé,	conclure,	signé,
	pacte,	prévoir,	conclure,	prévoir,	conclure,
	conclure,	accepté,	signer,	signer,	négocier,
	prévoire,	décidé,	négocié,	décider,	signer,
	lacte		négocier	accepter	prévoyant
rgument	largument,	saurait,	évident,	évident,	largument,
	priori,	claire-	logique,	juste-	logique,
	évidem-	ment,	savoir,	ment,	évident,
	ment,	juste-	justifier,	claire-	con-
	ceci,	ment,	contredire	ment,	tredire,
	suppose	évident,		saurait,	savoir
		justifier		logique	

Accord

- SVD3 and SVD5 captures collocations like négocier ('to

negociate') and *signer* ('to sign'), which could be

- Linguistic notion that explains the semantic relation between semantically related wordforms.
 - Paradigmatic LF's
 - **S1**(LECTURE) \rightarrow LECTURER
 - **V0**(STYLE) \rightarrow STYLIZE
 - **Syn**∩(PARTNER) → SIGNIFICANT OTHER
 - Anti(VICTORY) \rightarrow DEFEAT
 - Syntagmatic LF's
 - Magn(RAIN) \rightarrow HEAVY
 - **Oper1**(CRIME) \rightarrow COMMIT
 - **FinOper1**(POWER) \rightarrow LOSE
 - Func0(SNOW) \rightarrow FALL

weighted scheme of {nsubj : 3, nmod : 2} and others are map to 1

Settings for the VSMs shown in **Result** are following:

• **BASE**: depth 1 +base path value function

• SVD2: depth 2 +length path value function

• SVD3: English - depth 2 +gram-rel path value function with weight scheme of {pobj: 5, dobj: 5, iobj: 5,obj: 5, nsubj: 5, obl: 5}.

 SVD4: depth 2 + dependency relation filter of {pobj, dobj, iobj, obj, nsubj, obl}.

• SVD5: depth 2 + POS filter of {ADV, ADJ, NOUN, VERB} to

both target and context vocabulary

explained by the lexical functions *IncepOper1* and

Caus1Func0.

Argument

- The collocate *logique* ('logical') captured by the

models SVD3, SVD4 and SVD5 could be explained by

Ver.

Future Directions

• More corpus analysis and pre-processing.

• Increase of corpus size.

• Further depth setting.

• Combination of parameters.